ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY

Upregulation of MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 IncRNAs in non-functioning pituitary adenoma

Mohammad Taheri^{1,2} | Arash Safarzadeh³ | Arefe Bahranian⁴ | Solat Eslami^{5,6} | Nader Akbari Dilmaghani⁴ | Soudeh Ghafouri-Fard⁷ | Guive Sharifi⁴

¹Institute of Human Genetics, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

²Urology and Nephrology Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Phytochemistry Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Skull Base Research Center, Loghman Hakim Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵Department of Medical Biotechnology, School of Medicine, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran

⁶Dietary Supplements and Probiotic Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran

⁷Men's Health and Reproductive Health Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Correspondence

Soudeh Ghafouri-Fard, Men's Health and Reproductive Health Research Center. Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran,

Email: s.ghafourifard@sbmu.ac.ir

Guive Sharifi, Skull Base Research Center, Loghman Hakim Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran,

Email: gibnow@yahoo.com

Abstract

Long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) have been shown to be dysregulated in a variety of malignant and non-malignant lesions including non-functioning pituitary adenomas (NFPAs). In the current experimental study, we have selected six IncRNAs, namely MAPKAPK5-AS1, NUTM2B-AS1, ST7-AS1, LIFR-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 to assess their expression in a cohort of Iranian patients with NFPA. MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 were shown to be over-expressed in NFPA tissues compared with control samples (Expression ratios (95% CI)=10 (3.94-25.36), 11.22 (4.3-28.8) and 9.33 (4.12-21.12); p values < 0.0001, respectively). The depicted ROC curves showed the AUC values of 0.73, 0.80 and 0.73 for MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1, respectively. Relative expression level of PXN-AS1 was associated with tumour subtype (p value=0.49). Besides, relative expression levels of MAPKAPK5-AS1 and LIFR-AS1 were associated with gender of patients (p values = 0.043 and 0.01, respectively). Cumulatively, the current study indicates the possible role of MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 IncRNAs in the pathogenesis of NFPAs.

KEYWORDS

IncRNA, MAPKAPK5-AS1, non-functioning pituitary adenoma, PXN-AS1, URB1-AS1

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.



1 | INTRODUCTION

Pituitary adenomas (PA) include a wide variety of anterior pituitary masses. Based on the statistics, clinically important pituitary neoplasms have an incidence of 80-100 per 100,000 persons.¹ In a broad classification which is based on the levels of hormone release, pituitary neoplasms are classified as functional and nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas (NFPAs). The latter type includes about one third of all PAs.² Most of these tumours are considered as histologically benign tumours; however, the associated comorbidity and mortality of these tumours make them clinically important.³ The process of development of NFPA is dependent on numerous molecular events and biomolecules, among them being long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs).^{4,5} These transcripts control expression of their targets at almost all supposed stages. Notably, malfunction of these transcripts has been shown to speed up the carcinogenesis process in several tumours, including PA.6-9

Recently, we have used an in silico method to find differentially expressed long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) in PAs versus

normal samples and identify their relation with important signalling pathways. In the current experimental study, we have selected six lncRNAs from mostly upregulated lncRNAs namely, MAPKAPK5-AS1 (Log FC=1.360005, adjusted p value=0.01), NUTM2B-AS1 (Log FC=-2.536098, adjusted p value=0.01), ST7-AS1 (Log FC=2.60673, adjusted p value=0.04), LIFR-AS1 (Log FC=4.262626, adjusted p value=0.007), PXN-AS1 (Log FC=4.322818, adjusted p value=0.008) and URB1-AS1 (Log FC=3.614134, adjusted p value=0.01) among differentially expressed lncRNAs to assess their expression in a cohort of Iranian patients with NFPA.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Patients

Expression of mentioned IncRNAs was assessed in NFPA samples and paired normal samples. Tissue samples were excised during tumour excision from patients admitted to hospital affiliated to Shahid

TABLE 1 Information about primers and the corresponding amplified region.

Name	Туре	Sequence	Primer length	PCR product length
URB1-AS1-F	IncRNA	CCGCAAACTGATGGGCTCTT	20	153
URB1-AS1-R		GGACTGGATAGGGTCGGCTT	20	
PXN-AS1-F	IncRNA	TAAGCACAAGACCTGGACACCT	22	139
PXN-AS1-R		CAAGGCACAGTTGAGGATGG	21	
NUTM2B-AS1-F	IncRNA	CGCTGGCTGAAGAGTTGATGAC	22	84
NUTM2B-AS1-R		AGTGAGGCGGAGAACACAGAG	21	
MAPKAPK5-AS1-F	IncRNA	CACAAATCACACTCACCAGGGAA	23	189
MAPKAPK5-AS1-R		TGGCGTTCTTCTCGGCTCT	19	
LIFR-AS1-F	IncRNA	TGGGTCTCTAAACAAGGGCTG	21	168
LIFR-AS1-R		GCCTCCATTTTGTGAAGGTGT	21	
ST7-AS1-F	IncRNA	TCCCTACAAGTGGCTTTCGT	20	128
ST7-AS1-R		CTGAGGCGTTTCCTTCGG	18	
B2M-F	mRNA	AGATGAGTATGCCTGCCGTG	20	105
B2M-R		GCGGCATCTTCAAACCTCCA	20	

TABLE 2 Characteristic features of genes studied in this article.

Name/Gene ID	Accession number	Location	Official full name	Gene type
MAPKAPK5-AS1	NR_015404.2; NR_152605.1; NR_152606.1; NR_152607.1; NR_152608.1	12q24.12	MAPKAPK5 antisense RNA 1	ncRNA
NUTM2B-AS1	NR_120611.1; NR_120612.1; NR_120613.1	10q22.3	NUTM2B antisense RNA 1	ncRNA
ST7-AS1	NR_002330.1	7q31.2	ST7 antisense RNA 1	ncRNA
LIFR-AS1	NR_103553.1; NR_103554.1	5p13.1	LIFR antisense RNA 1	ncRNA
PXN-AS1	NR_038924.1	12q24.23	PXN antisense RNA 1	ncRNA
URB1-AS1	NR_026845.1	21q22.11	URB1 antisense RNA 1	ncRNA

2.2 | Expression assays

Total RNA was extracted using RNJia extraction kit (RN983006, Roje Technologies Company, Iran). Afterward, cDNA was made from these samples using AddScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Cat. No. 22701, ADDBIO Company, South Korea). Expression of IncRNAs was determined using RealQ Plus 2x Master Mix Green with high ROX purchased (AMPLIQON, Denmark), and primers provided by the METABION Company (Germany). Table 1 shows information about primers.

2.3 | Statistical analysis

Microarray data were processed using the R statistical programming language as described previously. Batch effects were removed by applying the ComBat function from the R Package Surrogate Variable Analysis (SVA). Subsequently, quantile normalisation method was used to normalize data expression matrix. Quantile normalisation was performed using the preprocessCore R package. The Limma package in R language was used to identify differentially expressed lncRNAs between NFPA and normal samples.

SPSS v.22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for analyses. Graphics were created using GraphPad Prism version 9.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA). Expression levels of six IncRNAs, namely MAPKAPK5-AS1, NUTM2B-AS1, ST7-AS1, LIFR-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 genes were compared between in pituitary adenoma samples and adjacent normal tissues. Expression levels in each sample were calculated using the efficiency-adjusted Ct of normalizer gene (B2M)—efficiency-adjusted Ct of target gene method. The normal/Gaussian distribution of the values was assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test or paired t test was used to identify differentially expressed genes between the adenoma tumour tissues and adjacent normal tissues.

Correlation between expressions of studied genes was measured using Spearman correlation coefficient. Mann–Whitney test and Kruskal–Wallis one-way Anova were used for comparing gene expression levels between different subgroups of patients. Chisquare test was used to find out the association between clinicopathological factors and gene expression levels.

The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was illustrated by the GraphPad Prism v.9 software. The p value < 0.05

TABLE 3 General information about enrolled persons.

Parameters	Values
Gender (male/Female)	35/12
Age (Mean \pm standard deviation)	50.65 ± 12.46
Tumour size	Macro: 34
	Giant: 7
	Micro: 2




FIGURE 1 Relative expression levels of six IncRNA genes in non-functional pituitary adenoma (NFPA) tissues versus adjacent normal tissues as described by—delta Ct values (Ct Housekeeping gene- Ct Target gene)—delta Ct Data were plotted as box and whisker plots Median [line], mean [cross], interquartile range [box], and minimum and maximum values are shown. Data were analysed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test or paired t test, and p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Asterisks indicate significant difference between two mentioned groups (*p value < 0.05, *p value < 0.01ns; non-significant).

Studied genes	Expression ratio (95% CI)	Number of pairs	SEM	p Value
MAPKAPK5-AS1	10 (3.94-25.36)	46	0.66	< 0.0001
NUTM2B-AS1	3.85 (1.07-13.86)	46	0.91	0.08
ST7-AS1	1.45 (0.6-3.5)	46	0.63	0.54
LIFR-AS1	1.8 (0.8-4.3)	46	0.6	0.14
PXN-AS1	11.22 (4.3-28.8)	46	0.67	< 0.0001
URB1-AS1	9.33 (4.12-21.12)	46	0.58	<0.0001

TABLE 4 The results of expression study of six IncRNA genes in non-functional pituitary adenoma (NFPA) tissues compared with the adjacent normal tissues.

Note: The expression ratio of each gene is shown as mean and 95% Confidence interval and SEM.

was considered to define the statistical significance in all of measurements.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | General information about studied genes

Table 2 shows the information about the studied genes.

3.2 | General information about enrolled persons.

Table 3 shows general information about enrolled patients. More details are shown in Table S1.

3.3 | Expression assays

Expression levels of MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 were significantly different between NFPA samples and their corresponding non-cancerous tissues (Figure 1).

MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 were shown to be over-expressed in NFPA tissues compared with control samples (Expression ratios (95% CI)=10 (3.94-25.36), 11.22 (4.3-28.8) and 9.33 (4.12-21.12); p values < 0.0001, respectively). Table 4 shows the detailed statistics of expression assays.

The depicted ROC curves showed the AUC values of 0.73, 0.80 and 0.73 for MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1, respectively (Figure 2).

The highest sensitivity value belonged to MAPKAPK5-AS1 (0.83), and the highest specificity value belonged to PXN-AS1 (0.76). Table 5 shows detailed information about ROC curve analyses.

Correlation analyses revealed highest correlations between NUTM2B-AS1 and MAPKAPK5-AS1 in NFPAs (correlation coefficient=0.95) and between ST7-AS1 and MAPKAPK5-AS1 among control tissues (correlation coefficient=0.89). Table 6 shows the correlation coefficients for all IncRNA pairs in two sets of samples.

There was a significant positive association between age of NFPA patients and invasiveness of NFPA (χ^2 =4.24, p value=0.039). Moreover, there was a significant positive association between diseases duration and CSF leak (χ^2 =11.4, p value=0.023). Finally, there was a significant positive association between tumour size and




FIGURE 2 The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of PXN-AS1, URB1-AS1 and MAPKAPK5-AS1 IncRNA genes for discrimination of NFPA tumours from adjacent normal tissues. AUC indicates area under the ROC curve.

Knosp classification (χ^2 =11.5, p value=0.02) and invasiveness of NFPA (χ^2 =6.12, p value=0.04).

Relative expression level of PXN-AS1 was associated with tumour subtype (*p* value=0.49). Besides, relative expression levels of MAPKAPK5-AS1 and LIFR-AS1 were associated with gender of patients (*p* values=0.043 and 0.01, respectively). Table 7 summarizes these results.

4 | DISCUSSION

NFPAs are a group of histologically benign tumours that are associated with morbidity and mortality.³ Therefore, identification of the molecular events leading to the development of NFPAs is important. In the current experimental study, we have selected six IncRNAs, namely MAPKAPK5-AS1, NUTM2B-AS1, ST7-AS1, LIFR-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 to assess their expression in a cohort of Iranian patients with NFPA. Expression assays showed up-regulation of MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 in

TABLE 5 The results of ROC curve analysis for six LncRNA genes for discrimination of NFPA tumours genes from adjacent normal tissues.

	ne	01
	p Value	0.0001
	Specificity	0.58
S1	Sensitivity	0.83
MAPKAPK5-AS	AUC±SD	0.73 ± 0.05
	p Value	0.0002
	Specificity	69.0
	Sensitivity	0.72
URB1-AS1	AUC±SD	0.73 ± 0.05
	p Value	<0.0001
	Specificity	0.76
	Sensitivity	0.78
PXN-AS1	AUC±SD	0.8±0.04

TABLE 6 Spearman's correlations between six IncRNA genes expression levels among the non-functional pituitary adenoma tumour tissues (N = 46) and adjacent normal tissues (N = 46).

	NUTM2B-AS1		ST7-AS1		LIFR-AS1		PXN-AS1		URB1-AS1	
	NFPAs	Control	NFPAs	Control	NFPAs	Control	NFPAs	Control	NFPAs	Control
MAPKAPK5-AS1	0.95**	0.64**	**8.0	0.89**	9000	0.36*	-0.04	0.26	0.72**	0.70**
NUTM2B-AS1			0.85**	0.72**	0.16	0.23	-0.01	0.22	0.73**	0.48**
ST7-AS1					0.33	0.48**	0.05	0.37*	0.78**	0.78**
LIFR-AS1							0.17	0.40*	0.17	0.43*
PXN-AS1									0.08	0.41*

^{*}Significance level of p < 0.05.; **Significance level of p < 0.001.

TABLE 7 Comparison of expression levels of six IncRNA genes in NFPA samples with different clinicopathologic factors.

Parameters	Subclasses	Number of patients (%)	Relative expression level of MAPKAPK5-AS1 (mean±SD)	<i>p</i> -Value	Relative expression level of NUTM2B-AS1 (mean±SD)	<i>p</i> -Value	Relative expression level of ST7-AS1 (mean±SD)	p-Value	Relative expression level of LIFR-AS1 (mean±SD)	p-Value	Relative expression level of PXN-AS1 (mean±SD)	p-Value	Relative expression level of URB1-AS1 (mean±SD)	p-Value
Tumour subtypes	NFPA NFPA+CD+AP	33	-1.2±1.96 -0.04±0.68	0.71	-0.89 ± 1.62 -0.92 ± 0.7	0.75	-3.3 ± 1.62 -2.8 ± 0.65	0.81	-14.7 ± 1 -14.2 ± 0.49	96:0	-8.69 ± 1.5 -5.9 ± 0.46	0.049	-1.5±2.2 -0.3±0.7	6:0
Age	22-48	22	0.31 ± 1 -0.97±0.92	90.0	-0.26 ± 0.82 -1.5 ± 1	0.07	-3.2 ± 1 -2.8 ± 0.72	0.27	-14.8 ± 0.6 -13.9 ± 0.66	0.49	-6.6 ± 0.99 -6.6 ± 0.44	0.34	-0.9 ± 1.3 -0.2 ± 0.7	0.32
Gender	Female Male	33	-2.4 ± 1.3 0.35±0.8	0.043	-3.03 ± 1.56 -0.21 ± 0.67	960.0	-3.35 ± 0.65 -2.87 ± 0.8	0.57	-12.5 ± 0.65 -15 ± 0.52	0.01	-5.14 ± 0.54 -7.14 ± 0.67	0.053	-0.7±0.9 -0.56±0.97	0.35
Disease's duration	<1 year 1 year 2 2 year	22 10 12	-0.31 ± 0.99 -0.96 ± 2.1 0.15 ± 0.65	0.82	-1.01 ± 1.06 -1.44 ± 1.6 -0.31 ± 0.62	0.82	-2.7 ± 1.01 -4.08 ± 1.5 -2.6 ± 0.6	0.71	-13.8 ± 0.67 -15.2 ± 1.01 -14.7 ± 0.72	0.5	-5.9 ± 0.57 -8.65 ± 1.9 -6.15 ± 0.38	0.55	0.37±0.8 -3.5±2.6 -00.6±0.65	0.68
Tumour size (cm)	<500 mm ² 500-800 mm ² >800 mm ²	14 15 15	0.48±1.04 0.29±0.95 -1.7±1.5	0.99	-0.01 ± 0.99 -0.13 ± 0.79 -2.5 ± 1.4	0.74	-2.17±1.06 -2.12±0.78 -4.6±1.28	0.68	-13.8±0.82 -13.5±0.6 -15.7±0.81	0.055	-6.3±0.51 -5.6±0.77 -7.9±1.25	0.21	0.24±1.01 0.42±0.85 -2.47±1.8	0.77
CSF leak	No Low flow High flow	20 10 14	0.75 ± 0.74 -0.59±1.03 -1.69±1.77	0.5	-0.04 ± 0.67 -0.93 ± 1.06 -2.15 ± 1.65	0.71	-2.8 ± 0.99 -3.23 ± 1.11 -2.98 ± 1.17	0.48	-14.16 ± 0.6 -15.3 ± 0.59 -14.1 ± 1	0.47	-6.16 ± 0.77 -7.14 ± 0.55 -6.96 ± 1.25	0.2	-0.49 ± 1.03 -0.73 ± 1.15 -0.7 ± 1.76	0.51
Knosp classification	7 0 6	10 16 18	0.3 ± 0.88 -0.09 \pm 0.88 -0.89 \pm 1.47	0.87	-0.2 ± 0.74 -0.52 ± 0.83 -1.67 ± 1.37	0.73	-2.4 ± 0.65 -2.62 ± 0.84 -3.64 ± 1.29	99.0	-14.3 ± 0.54 -14.1 ± 0.64 -14.7 ± 0.91	0.64	-5.37 ± 0.46 -6.2 ± 0.73 -7.73 ± 1.08	0.24	0.079 ± 0.8 0.87 ± -0.11 -1.4 ± 1.65	0.87
Invasiveness	Invasive Non invasive	7 37	0.14 ± 0.74 -2.83 ± 1.81	0.08	-0.3 ± 0.61 -4.18 ± 2.2	0.056	-2.84 ± 0.72 -3.8 ± 0.8	0.22	-14.3 ± 0.46 -14.7 ± 1.48	0.64	-6.7 ± 0.63 -5.9 ± 0.55	0.78	-0.58 ± 0.87 -0.81 ± 1.3	0.45
Drug history	Yes	9 35	-0.29 ± 0.74 -0.48 ± 1.95	0.91	-0.79±0.6 -1.4±2.2	96.0	-3.43 ± 0.7 -1.26 ± 1.26	0.42	-14.8 ± 0.45 -12.6 ± 1.22	0.089	-6.91 ± 0.61 -5.56 ± 1	0.3	-1.12 ± 0.89 1.34 ± 1.22	0.44

Note: Mann-Whitney test and Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA were used for comparing gene expression levels between different subgroups.

NFPA tissues compared with control samples. Previous studies have shown the role of these IncRNAs in other disorders. For instance, MAPKAPK5-AS1 have been found to promote progression of colorectal cancer through regulation of expression of MK5 and acting as a sponge for let-7f-1-3p. ¹¹ PXN-AS1-L has a role in progression of nasopharyngeal carcinoma through upregulation of SAPCD2. ¹² Moreover, it enhances progression of non-small cell lung cancer via regulation of PXN. ¹³ However, the role of these IncRNAs in PAs have not been investigated.

The depicted ROC curves showed the AUC values of 0.73, 0.80 and 0.73 for MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1, respectively. Therefore, these lncRNAs represent potential markers for diagnostic approaches in PAs.

Moreover, we discovered significant correlations between expression levels of MAPKAPK5-AS1, NUTM2B-AS1, ST7-AS1, LIFR-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 in PAs and control samples. These correlations might indicate functional links between these lncRNAs.

Relative expression level of PXN-AS1 was associated with tumour subtype. Therefore, this IncRNA might specifically affect pathogenesis of a certain subtype of PA or might have a more fundamental effect in the proliferation of certain types of cells. Besides, relative expression levels of MAPKAPK5-AS1 and LIFR-AS1 were associated with gender of patients. This observation may reflect functional relation between these IncRNAs and sex hormones or hormone responsive elements. Future studies are needed to elaborate the underlying mechanism of these observations. Cumulatively, the current study indicates the possible role of MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 IncRNAs in the pathogenesis of NFPAs. These results should be verified by functional studies and replicate studies in larger cohorts of patients.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Mohammad Taheri: Data curation (equal); supervision (equal). Arash Safarzadeh: Investigation (equal); methodology (equal). Solat Eslami: Formal analysis (equal); visualization (equal). Nader Akbari Dilmaghani: Investigation (equal); validation (equal). Soudeh Ghafouri-Fard: Supervision (equal); validation (equal); writing original draft (equal). Guive Sharifi: Investigation (equal); project administration (equal). Arefe Bahranian: Investigation (equal); methodology (equal).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the clinical Research Development Unit (CRDU) of Loghman Hakim Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran for their support, cooperation and assistance throughout the period of study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The analysed datasets generated during the study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Mohammad Taheri https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8381-0591
Soudeh Ghafouri-Fard https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0223-499X

REFERENCES

- Raverot G, Burman P, McCormack A, et al. European Society of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of aggressive pituitary tumours and carcinomas. Eur J Endocrinol. 2018;178(1):G1-G24. doi:10.1530/eje-17-0796
- Aflorei ED, Korbonits M. Epidemiology and etiopathogenesis of pituitary adenomas. J Neurooncol. 2014;117(3):379-394. doi:10.1007/s11060-013-1354-5
- Olsson DS, Nilsson AG, Bryngelsson I-L, Trimpou P, Johannsson G, Andersson E. Excess mortality in women and young adults with nonfunctioning pituitary adenoma: a Swedish nationwide study. J Clin Endocrinol Metabol. 2015;100(7):2651-2658.
- Xing W, Qi Z, Huang C, et al. Genome-wide identification of IncRNAs and mRNAs differentially expressed in non-functioning pituitary adenoma and construction of an IncRNA-mRNA coexpression network. *Biol Open.* 2019;8(1). doi:10.1242/bio.037127
- Ghafouri-Fard S, Abak A, Hussen BM, Taheri M, Sharifi G. The emerging role of non-coding RNAs in pituitary gland tumors and meningioma. *Cancer.* 2021;13(23). doi:10.3390/cancers13235987
- Poliseno L, Salmena L, Zhang J, Carver B, Haveman WJ, Pandolfi PP. A coding-independent function of gene and pseudogene mRNAs regulates tumour biology. *Nature*. 2010;465(7301):1033-1038. doi:10.1038/nature09144
- Wang KC, Chang HY. Molecular mechanisms of long noncoding RNAs. Mol Cell. 2011;43(6):904-914. doi:10.1016/j.molcel. 2011.08.018
- Huarte M. The emerging role of lncRNAs in cancer. Nat Med. 2015;21(11):1253-1261. doi:10.1038/nm.3981
- Beylerli O, Gareev I, Pavlov V, Chen X, Zhao S. The role of long noncoding RNAs in the biology of pituitary adenomas. World Neurosurg. 2020;137:252-256. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2019.10.137
- Ghafouri-Fard S, Safarzadeh A, Akhavan-Bahabadi M, Hussen BM, Taheri M, Dilmaghani NA. Expression pattern of non-coding RNAs in non-functioning pituitary adenoma. Front Oncol. 2022;12:978016. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.978016
- Yang T, Chen WC, Shi PC, et al. Long noncoding RNA MAPKAPK5-AS1 promotes colorectal cancer progression by cisregulating the nearby gene MK5 and acting as a let-7f-1-3p sponge. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2020;39(1):139. doi:10.1186/s13046-020-01 633-8
- 12. Jia X, Niu P, Xie C, Liu H. Long noncoding RNA PXN-AS1-L promotes the malignancy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells via upregulation of SAPCD2. *Cancer Med.* 2019;8(9):4278-4291. doi:10.1002/cam4.2227
- Zhang Z, Peng Z, Cao J, et al. Long noncoding RNA PXN-AS1-L promotes non-small cell lung cancer progression via regulating PXN.
 Cancer Cell Int. 2019;19:20. doi:10.1186/s12935-019-0734-0

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Taheri M, Safarzadeh A, Bahranian A, et al. Upregulation of MAPKAPK5-AS1, PXN-AS1 and URB1-AS1 IncRNAs in non-functioning pituitary adenoma. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2023;00:1-7. doi:10.1111/jcmm.17763